APPENDIX F. INDUSTRY-INITIATED CODE CHANGE PROCESS

Subject to Utilities Commission agreement, Power and Water proposes to provide the following information on the Market Operator website.

Industry Initiated Code Change Proposals

Under the Network Technical Code (NTC) and the System Control Technical Code (SCTC) entities holding electricity related licences in the NT are eligible to propose code change requests to the NTC and SCTC.

Where such a code request has been made, Power and Water will respond within 30 days with a determination to:

- approve that the amendment is suitable for consultation, and initiate a code consultation process for the rule change; or
- hold the amendment until there is a sufficient volume for a code amendment; or
- reject the proposed amendment.

All code change proposals received from system participants will be published online within the 30 days, along with the Power and Water determination.

A code change proposal is initiated submitting to the market.operator@powerwater.com.au inbox with the following information:

A code change proposal is initiated by:

- Draft code amendments (specific wording);
- Explanation of the reason for the code amendment (issue);
- Explanation of how the proposed amendment will solve the issue identified;
- Explanation of the impact of the amendment on other system participants; and
- Explanation of how the change aligns with the criteria for approving the change in the *Utilities Commission Act*.

Submissions meeting the required information (as determined by the General Manager Operations or their delegate) shall then be reviewed by the Power and Water NTEM Steering Committee for determination as to the action to be taken.

If the General Manager Operations (SCTC) or the General Manager Power Services (NTC) do not consider that the proposal should proceed to the NTEM Steering Committee (due to insufficient information being provided) they may return the code change to the proposer, with a summary of the nature of the missing information or other reason why the proposal was not considered suitable for consideration by the NTEM Steering Committee.